UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,940	09/29/2003	Jin-ho Park	101-1007	8302
38209 STANZIONE &	7590 07/02/200 KIM. LLP	EXAMINER		
919 18TH STR			HUFFMAN, JULIAN D	
SUITE 440 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/671,940	PARK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Gallari B. Hallman	2000	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED 19 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITIC	N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior application, applicant must timely file one of the fo application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance w periods:	llowing replies: (1) an amendmer of Appeal (with appeal fee) in co	nt, affidavit, or other evidence, whi mpliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3	ch places the 3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from th			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either bo	v expire later than SIX MONTHS from ox (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) \	the mailing date of the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). I have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the periunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Of may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR NOTICE OF APPEAL	The date on which the petition under 3 iod of extension and the correspondir e of the shortened statutory period for fice later than three months after the	ng amount of the fee. The appropriate reply originally set in the final Office a	extension fee action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief	in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37	must be filed within two months of	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41	.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the a	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rej (a) They raise new issues that would require ful	rther consideration and/or search		use
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NO (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application appeal; and/or 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	terially reducing or simplifying the	issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without cance NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 C		finally rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 C	FR 1.121. See attached Notice o	f Non-Compliant Amendment (PT	OL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendmen how the new or amended claims would be rejected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	d is provided below or appended.		anation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of gwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date entered because the affidavit or other evidence fa showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is ne 	iled to overcome <u>all</u> rejections un ecessary and was not earlier pres	der appeal and/or appellant fails t ented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	o provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An exp REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	olanation of the status of the clain	ns after entry is below or attached	
11. The request for reconsideration has been consid	ered but does NOT place the app	olication in condition for allowance	because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statem</i> 13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	rent(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Julian D. Huffr	nan/	
		ner, Art Unit 2853	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment changes the scope of the claims and requires further consideration.

Continuation of 13. Other: As stated in the summary of the interview conducted 25 June 2008, the examiner agrees that Kodama does not disclose the invention of claim 1, since Kodama does not teach, diclose or suggest the first and second support beams connected to and extending from inner portions of the first and second wall portions of the ink collector which face each other in the paper feed direction and in a direction opposite to the paper feed direction. Accordingly, the rejection of claim 1 as being anticipated by Kodama is withdrawn, however, all other rejections provided in the final rejection of 22 April 2008 remain.